



IRISH HIP FRACTURE DATABASE NATIONAL REPORT 2024 APPENDICES



ACCESSING REPORT APPENDICES

| APPENDIX 1: GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ACROYMS AND INITIALISMS | |
|--|----|
| APPENDIX 2: HOSPITALS AND PEOPLE THAT WE WORK WITH | |
| APPENDIX 3: DATA COLLECTION CALENDAR 2024 | 5 |
| APPENDIX 4: IHFD DATASET – HIP FRACTURE ADMISSION | 6 |
| APPENDIX 5: IHFD DATASET - HIP FRACTURE FOLLOW-UP | 1 |
| APPENDIX 6: IHFD FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS | 15 |
| APPENDIX 7: FREQUENCY TABLES | 19 |
| APPENDIX 8: SPECIFICATIONS FOR COMPOSITE VARIABLES | 30 |
| APPENDIX 9: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | 32 |
| APPENDIX 10: IHFD GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING ATTENDEES, 2024 | 34 |

APPENDIX 1: GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ACROYMS AND INITIALISMS

| ABBREVIATION | DEFINITION |
|--------------|--|
| 4AT | 4 'A's Test - a rapid clinical test for delirium |
| AMRIC | Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control |
| AMT | Abbreviated Mental Test |
| ANP | Advanced nurse practitioner/candidate advanced nurse practitioner |
| ASA | American Society of Anesthesiologists |
| BIU | Business Intelligence Unit |
| BPT | Best Practice Tariff |
| cANP | candidate Advanced Nurse Practitioner |
| CAS | Cumulated Ambulation Score |
| CFS | Clinical Frailty Scale |
| CHG | chlorhexidine gluconate |
| DEXA | dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry |
| DHS | dynamic hip screw |
| DVR | data validation report |
| ECDC | European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control |
| ED | emergency department |
| EQ-5D-5L | Descriptive system of five health dimensions |
| FFN | Fragility Fracture Network |
| GA | general anaesthetic |
| HipFORESST | Hip Fracture Outcome Recording – Empowering, Supporting and Sustaining Teams |
| HFGC | hip fracture governance committee |
| HIPE | Hospital In-Patient Enquiry |
| HPO | Healthcare Pricing Office |
| HRB | Health Research Board |
| HSE | Health Service Executive |
| IGS | Irish Gerontological Society |
| IHFD | Irish Hip Fracture Database |
| IHFD FI | Irish Hip Fracture Database Frailty Index |
| IHFS | Irish Hip Fracture Standards |
| IITOS | Irish Institute for Trauma and Orthopaedic Surgery |
| IM | intramedullary |
| IQR | interquartile range |
| LTC | Long-term care |
| MDT | multidisciplinary team |
| MRSA | methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus |
| MSSA | methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus |
| NH | Nursing Home |
| NHSN | National Healthcare Safety Network |
| NMS | New Mobility Score |
| NOCA | National Office of Clinical Audit |
| PPI | Public and Patient Interest |
| Ql | quality improvement |
| RCSI | Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland |
| SA | spinal anaesthetic |
| SAP | surgical antibiotic prophylaxis |

| SHO | Senior House Officer |
|-----|-------------------------|
| SpR | Specialist Registrar |
| SSI | surgical site infection |
| THR | total hip replacement |

APPENDIX 2: HOSPITALS AND PEOPLE THAT WE WORK WITH

| Hospital | Clinical Lead(s) | Audit Coordinator(s) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Midland Regional Hospital | Ms Dorothy Niall | Orla Morris |
| Tullamore | | Allison Burke |
| St James's Hospital | Mr Tom McCarthy | Abigail Mendoza |
| | Prof. C. Geraldine McMahon | |
| Tallaght University Hospital | Prof. Tara Coughlan | Ann Dwyer |
| | Mr Nail Mc Goldrick | |
| Mater Misericordiae University | Mr Sven O'hEireamhoin | Yomi Waya |
| Hospital | Prof. Joe Duggan | Mary Mullen |
| St Vincent's University Hospital | Mr Conor Hurson | Ursula Kelleher |
| | Dr Rachael Doyle | John Paulo Reyes |
| Connolly Hospital | Mr Paddy Kenny | Sandra Connelly |
| | | Shiprah Samuel |
| | | Karen Gantley |
| Our Lady of Lourdes Hospital | Mr Aaron Glynn | Orla Cooney |
| Drogheda | Dr Helen O'Brien | |
| Beaumont Hospital | Dr Linda Brewer | Anthony O'Loughlin |
| | | Ruth Kavanagh |
| Letterkenny University Hospital | Mr Tony Shaju | Carole Mc Fadden |
| Sligo University Hospital | Mr William Gaine | Regina Mitchell |
| | | Michelle Gilroy |
| University Hospital Galway | Mr Colin Murphy | Anne Moran |
| Mayo University Hospital | Mr Derek Bennett | Ciara Griffen |
| University Hospital Waterford | Mr Terence Murphy | Gavin Egan |
| | Dr Niamh O'Regan | |
| Cork University Hospital | Dr Emer Ahern | Toni O'Keeffe |
| | Mr Shane Guerin | |
| University Hospital Kerry | Mr John Rice | Esther O'Mahony |
| University Hospital Limerick | Dr Jude Ryan | Sarah Maher |
| | Mr Matthew Nagel | Adrienne Cronolly |

APPENDIX 3: DATA COLLECTION CALENDAR 2024

| Data collection period | Closure date for data entry | Date data is received | Date data is reported |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 01/10/2024- 31/12/2024 | 31/03/2025 | 8 th April 2025 | 3 rd week in April 2025 Q4 <u>May extend for</u> <u>validation</u> |
| 01/01/2025- 31/03/2025 | 30/06/2024 | 5 th July 2024 | 3 rd week July 2024 Q1 |
| 01/04/2025- 30/06/2025 | 30/09/2024 | 4 th of October | 3 rd week in October 2024 Q2 |
| 01/07/2024- 30/09/2024 | 31/12/2024 | 4t ^h January 2025 | 3 rd week in January 2025 Q3 |

APPENDIX 4: IHFD DATASET - HIP FRACTURE ADMISSION

| HIPE Portal Data Entry / Hip Fracture Admission | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Question | Options | Show / Hide |
| 1. Date of trauma causing hip fracture | | |
| 1A. Time of trauma causing hip fracture | | |
| 2. Type of trauma | 1 High energy trauma, 2 Low energy trauma 8 Unknown, 9 Not documented | |
| 3. Place of injury | 1 Home, 2 Public area or road 3 Institution (nursing home) 4 Farm, 5 Industrial; 8 Other | |
| 3A. Place of injury, other (please specify) | | |
| 4. Date of arrival at first presenting hospital | | |
| 4A. Time of arrival at first presenting hospital | | |
| 5. Admission via ED in operating hospital | 1 Yes, 2 No | |
| 5A. Date of arrival in ED of operating hospital | | Adm Via ED = 1 Yes |
| 5B. Time of arrival in ED of operating hospital | | Adm Via ED = 1 Yes |
| 5C. Date left ED in operating hospital | | Adm Via ED = 1 Yes |
| 5D. Time left ED in operating hospital | | Adm Via ED = 1 Yes |
| 5E. Did patient go directly to theatre from ED | 1 Yes, 2 No | Adm Via ED = 1 Yes |
| 5F. Date seen by orthopaedic team in operating | | Adm Via ED = 2 No |
| hospital (if not admitted via ED) | | |
| 5G. Time seen by orthopaedic team in operating hospital (if not admitted via ED) | | Adm Via ED = 2 No |
| 5H. Did patient fall during an existing inpatient admission in operating hospital | 1 Yes, 2 No | Adm Via ED = 2 No |
| 6. Type of ward admitted to in operating hospital | l Orthopaedic Ward 2 Never Admitted to Orthopaedic Ward 9 Not Documented | |
| 6A. Date of admission to orthopaedic ward | | Ward Type = 1 Orthopaedic Ward |
| 6B. Time of admission to orthopaedic ward | | Ward Type = 1 Orthopaedic Ward |
| 7. Is pre-fracture mobility documented? | 1 Yes, 2 No | |
| 7A. Pre-fracture Indoor Walking | O Unable 1 Assistance of one person 2 With an aid 3 Independent | Pre Fx = 1 Yes |
| 7B. Pre-fracture Outdoor Walking | 0 Unable | Pre Fx = 1 Yes |
| 7B. He fracture Outdoor Walking | 2 Orland 1 Assistance of one person 2 With an aid 3 Independent | TETX = TTC3 |
| 7C. Pre-fracture Shopping | O Unable 1 Assistance of one person 2 With an aid | Pre Fx = 1 Yes |
| | 3 Independent | |
| 7D. Pre-fracture New Mobility Score (Sum A+B+C) | | Pre Fx = 1 Yes |
| 8A. Delirium assessment 4AT Day1(day of admission) | l Yes, 2 No 3 Unable to score / advanced dementia 9 Not Documented | AMT Per = 1 Yes |
| 8A2. If yes, enter score | 0 - 12 | 4AT D1 = 1 Yes |
| 8B. Delirium assessment 4AT Day 3 | 1 Yes, 2 No | AMT Per = 1 Yes |
| 8B2. If yes, enter score | 0 - 12 | 4AT D3 = 1 Yes |
| 8C. Delirium assessment 4AT any other time | 1 Yes, 2 No | AMT Per = 1 Yes |
| 8C2. If yes, enter score | 0 - 12 | 4AT DX = 1 Yes |
| 9. Side of fracture | 1 Left, 2 Right, 3 Both | |
| 9A. Type of fracture | 1 Intracapsular - displaced 2 Intracapsular - undisplaced 3 Intertrochanteric 4 Subtrochanteric 5 Periprosthetic 6 Other 7 Not documented 8 Other | |
| 9B. Type of fracture (Other, please specify) | | Fracture = 8 Other |
| 9C. Type of fracture (Right) | See Q&A | Side = 3 Both |
| 9D. Type of fracture (Right, Other, please specify) | | Fracture 2 = 8 Other |
| 10. Pathological | 1 Atypical, 2 Malignancy | |
| 11 History of provide a Court Court Court | 3 No, 9 Not documented | |
| History of previous fragility fracture(s) Pre-op medical assessment | Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented Routine by geriatrician Routine by medical physician None Ger review following request Med physician review following request | |
| 12A. Assessed by Geriatrician during this acute | 9 Not documented 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented | |
| admission | | |

| 12C. Geriatrician Assessment Time | | |
|--|---|--|
| 12D. Geriatrician Grade | 1 Consultant 2 SpR | |
| | 3 Registrar | |
| | 8 Other 9 Not documented | |
| 12E. Assessed by a cANP/ANP gerontology/orthopaedics | 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented | |
| 13. Nutritional risk assessment performed on | 0 No 1 Indicates malnourished | |
| admission | 2 Indicates risk of malnutrition | |
| 14. Nerve block in ED or ward before arrival in | 3 Indicates normal 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented | |
| theatre suite | Tres, 2 No. 5 Not documented | |
| 15. Operation | 00 no oper. performed | |
| | 01 int fix DHS 02 int fix Screws | |
| | 03 int fix IM nail long | |
| | 04 int fix IM nail short | |
| | 05 art uni-p hemi uncem uncoated 06 art uni-p hemi uncem coated | |
| | 07 art uni-p hemi cem | |
| | 08 art bi-p hemi uncem uncoated | |
| | 09 art bi-p hemi uncem coated 10 art bi-p hemi cem | |
| | 11 art THR uncem uncoated | |
| | 12 art THR uncem coated 13 art THR cem | |
| | 88 other | |
| 454 404 0 | 99 not documented | |
| 15A. ASA Grade | 1 Normal healthy individual 2 Mild systemic disease -does not limit activity | Operation = 01 - 88 |
| | 3 Severe systemic disease that limits activity | |
| | but is not incapacitating | |
| | 4 Incapacitating systemic disease which is constantly life-threatening | |
| | 5 Moribund - not expected to survive 24 hours | |
| | with or without surgery | |
| 15D Town of Association | 9 Not documented 1 GA only | On a service = - 01 - 00 |
| 15B. Type of Anaesthesia | 2 GA + nerve block | Operation = 01 - 88 |
| | 3 GA + spinal anaesthesia 4 GA + epidural anaesthesia | |
| | 5 SA only | |
| | 6 SA + nerve block | |
| | 7 SA + epidural (CSE) 8 Other | |
| 150.0 | 9 Not documented | |
| 15C. Surgeon Grade | 1 Consultant 2 Specialist Registrar | Operation = 01 - 88 |
| | 3 Registrar | |
| | 4 SHO 8 Other | |
| | 9 Not documented | |
| 15C2. Was consultant orthopaedic surgeon present in the operating room | 1 Yes 2 No | Surgeon Grade Not Consultant |
| | 9 Not documented 1 Consultant | 0 01.00 |
| 15D. Anaesthetist Grade | 2 Specialist Registrar | Operation = 01 - 88 |
| | 3 Registrar 4 SHO | |
| | 8 Other | |
| 4556.11 | 9 Not documented 1 Yes | |
| 15D2. Was consultant anaesthetist present in the operating room | 2 No | Anaesthetist Grade Not Consultant |
| | 9 Not documented | On susting = 01 00 |
| 15E. Date of primary surgery (induction of anaesthesia) | | Operation = 01 - 88 |
| 15F. Time of primary surgery (induction of anaesthesia) | | Operation = 01 - 88 |
| 15F1. Time of knife to skin | | Operation = 01 - 88 |
| 15F2. Date surgery finished | | Operation = 01 - 88 Operation = 01 - 88 |
| 15F3. Time surgery finished 15G1. Skin preparation used in theatre | 0 None | Operation = 01 - 88 |
| 1301. Skiri preparation used in theatre | 1 lodine with alcohol | 5,5,4,6,7, 6,7 |
| | 3 iodine without alcohol | |
| | 2 Chlorohexidine/Alcohol (CHLORAPREP) 7 Unknown | |
| | 8 Other | |
| | 9 Not documented | |
| 15G2. Skin preparation used in theatre (Other) | | Skin Prep = 8 Other |

| I Clean 2 Clean-contaminated 3 Contaminated 4 Dirty/Infected 7 Unknown 9 Not documented 1 Awaiting orthopaedic diagnosis or investigation or stabilisation; 3 Awaiting inpatient or high dependency bed; 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
|--|---|
| 3 Contaminated 4 Dirty/Infected 7 Unknown 9 Not documented O No delay - surgery < 48 hours; 1 Awaiting orthopaedic diagnosis or investigation 2 Awaiting medical review investigation or stabilisation; 3 Awaiting inpatient or high dependency bed; 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| 4 Dirty/Infected 7 Unknown 9 Not documented O No delay - surgery < 48 hours; 1 Awaiting orthopaedic diagnosis or investigation 2 Awaiting medical review investigation or stabilisation; 3 Awaiting inpatient or high dependency bed; 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| 7 Unknown 9 Not documented O No delay - surgery < 48 hours; 1 Awaiting orthopaedic diagnosis or investigation 2 Awaiting medical review investigation or stabilisation; 3 Awaiting inpatient or high dependency bed; 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| 9 Not documented O No delay - surgery < 48 hours; 1 Awaiting orthopaedic diagnosis or investigation 2 Awaiting medical review investigation or stabilisation; 3 Awaiting inpatient or high dependency bed; 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| H. Reason if delay >48 hours O No delay - surgery < 48 hours; Awaiting orthopaedic diagnosis or investigation Awaiting medical review investigation or stabilisation; Awaiting inpatient or high dependency bed; Awaiting space on theatre list; Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| 1 Awaiting orthopaedic diagnosis or investigation 2 Awaiting medical review investigation or stabilisation; 3 Awaiting inpatient or high dependency bed; 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| investigation 2 Awaiting medical review investigation or stabilisation; 3 Awaiting inpatient or high dependency bed; 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| 2 Awaiting medical review investigation or stabilisation; 3 Awaiting inpatient or high dependency bed; 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| stabilisation; 3 Awaiting inpatient or high dependency bed; 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| 3 Awaiting inpatient or high dependency bed; 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| bed; 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| 4 Awaiting space on theatre list; 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| 5 Problem with theatre/equipment; | |
| | |
| 6 Problem with | |
| theatre/surgical/anaesthetic staff cover | |
| 7 Cancelled due to list over-run; | |
| 8 Other | |
| 9 Not documented | |
| 10 Issues due to anticoagulation | |
| H2. Other Reason if delay >48 hours Reason if delay = Not 0 No Delay | |
| II. Were prophylactic antibiotics administered 1Yes Operation = 01 - 88 | |
| 11. Were propriyactic antibiotics administered | |
| 7 Unknown | |
| 9 Not documented | |
| | |
| Prophylactic antibiotics were 1 Cefuroxime (Zinacef) Prophylactic Antib = 1 Yes | |
| dministered 2 Teicolplanin | |
| 3 Co-amoxiclav (Augmentin) | |
| 4 Other | |
| 5 Not documented | |
| I/2.1 If other, please state | |
| I3. Is the patient currently receiving antibiotics I Yes Operation = 01 - 88 | |
| or another reason 2 No | |
| 7 Unknown | |
| 9 Not documented | |
| 14. If yes, which antibiotics 1 Nitrofurantoin (Macrodantin) Other Antib = 1 Yes | |
| 2 Trimethoprim | |
| 3 Co-amoxiclav (Augmentin) | |
| 4 Cefuroxime (Zinacef) | |
| 5 Piperacillin-Tazobactam (Tazocin) | |
| 8 Other | |
| 9 Not Documented | |
| il4.1If other, please state | |
| 15. Surgical Skin Closure type 1 Sutures Operation = 01 - 88 | |
| 2 Staples (Clips) | |
| 3 Glue | |
| 7 Combination of above | |
| lo out | |
| 8 Other | |
| 9 Not documented | |
| 9 Not documented | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) Skin Closure = 8 Other | l |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure = 8 Other 17. Dressing type applied 1 Aquacel Operation = 01 - 88 | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) Skin Closure = 8 Other | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure = 8 Other 17. Dressing type applied 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure = 8 Other 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure = 8 Other 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure = 8 Other 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied 9 Not documented | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied 9 Not documented 10 Other | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied 9 Not documented 10 Other | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied 9 Not documented 10 Other Skin Closure = 8 Other Operation = 01 - 88 Poperation = 01 - 88 Operation = 01 - 88 Skin Closure = 8 Other Operation = 01 - 88 | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied 9 Not documented 10 Other 17.1 If Other, please state 19. Mobilised on day of, or day after surgery 1 Physiotherapist 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied 9 Not documented 10 Other 17.1 If Other, please state 17.1 Mobilised on day of, or day after surgery 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented 1 Physiotherapist 1 Physiotherapist 1 Mobilised = 1 Yes | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied 9 Not documented 10 Other Skin Closure = 8 Other Operation = 01 - 88 Poperation = 01 - 88 Operation = 01 - 88 Skin Closure = 8 Other Operation = 01 - 88 Poperation = 01 - 88 Poper | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied 9 Not documented 10 Other Skin Closure = 8 Other Operation = 01 - 88 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied 9 Not documented 10 Other St.T.1 If Other, please state J. Mobilised on day of, or day after surgery J. Physiotherapist 8 Other, 9 Not documented J. Dressing J. Physiotherapist 8 Other, 9 Not documented J. Dressing J. Pain Mobilised = 2 No | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure + 8 Other 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied 9 Not documented 10 Other 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented 2 Dry Dressing 8 Nobilised on day of, or day after surgery 1 Physiotherapist 8 Other, 9 Not documented 1 Drysion, reason why 1 Pain 2 Confusion/agitation/delirium Skin Closure = 8 Other Operation = 01 - 88 Visin Closure = 10 - 88 Visin Clos | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure = 8 Other 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 No dressing applied 9 Not documented 10 Other 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented 12 Dry Dressing 9 Not documented 13 Nobilised on day of, or day after surgery 1 Pain 2 Confusion/agitation/delirium 3 Patient declined Skin Closure = 8 Other Operation = 01 - 88 Veration = 01 - 88 Ver | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing type applied 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 Not documented 10 Other 17.1 If Other, please state 10. Mobilised on day of, or day after surgery 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented 10 Uperation = 01 - 88 1 Physiotherapist 8 Other, 9 Not documented 1 Physiotherapist 8 Other, 9 Not documented 1 Pain 1 Pain 2 Confusion/agitation/delirium 3 Patient declined 4 Medically not fit | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure = 8 Other 1. Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 Not documented 10 Other 17.1 If Other, please state 17.2 Mobilised on day of, or day after surgery 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented 10 J. Mobilised by 1 Physiotherapist 8 Other, 9 Not documented 10 Confusion/agitation/delirium 3 Patient declined 4 Medically not fit 5 Not mobile pre-fracture | |
| 9 Not documented Skin Closure type (Other) 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing type applied 1 Aquacel 2 Dry Dressing (ie mepore) 3 Jubilee method 4 Mepilex 5 Opsite 6 Pressure Dressing 7 Negative Pressure Dressing 8 Not documented 10 Other 17.1 If Other, please state 10. Mobilised on day of, or day after surgery 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented 10 Uperation = 01 - 88 1 Physiotherapist 8 Other, 9 Not documented 1 Physiotherapist 8 Other, 9 Not documented 1 Pain 1 Pain 2 Confusion/agitation/delirium 3 Patient declined 4 Medically not fit | |

| | 8 Other 9 Not documented | |
|---|--|--|
| 15J4. If no, reason why (other, please specify) | 5 Not documented | Mobilised = 2 No |
| 15K. Physiotherapy Assessment on day of, or day | 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented | Operation = 01 - 88 |
| after surgery 15L. Cumulated Ambulatory Score - day after | | Operation = 01 - 88 |
| surgery (0 - 6) 15M. Re-operation within 30 days | O None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout or debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to Hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surgery for periprosthetic fracture 9 Not documented | Operation = 01 - 88 |
| 16. Operation (Right) | See Q9 | Side = 3 Both |
| 17. Pressure ulcers | 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented | |
| 18. Specialist Falls Assessment | O No, 1 Yes - performed on this admission 2 Yes - awaits further out-patient assessment | |
| 19. Bone protection medication | O No assessment I Started on this admission Continued from pre-admission Awaits DXA scan Awaits out-patient assessment Assessed - no bone protection medication needed/appropriate | |
| 19A. If medication type changed during admission please document | Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented | Bone protection medication = 2 Continued from pre- admission |
| 19B. Type of bone protection medication prescribed | | Bone protection medication = 1 Started on this admission, OR 2 Continued from pre- admission |
| 20. Multidisciplinary rehabilitation team assessment | 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented | , |
| 21. Did patient have an occupational therapy assessment during admission | 1 Yes, 2 No, 9 Not documented | |
| 22. Cumulated Ambulatory Score - day of acute hospital discharge (0 - 6) | 9 Not documented | |
| 23. Where was the patient discharged to following the acute hospital spell? | I Home 2 On-site rehab unit 3 Off-site rehab unit 4 Convalescence care 5 New adm to nursing home or long-stay care 6 Return adm to nursing home or long- stay care 8 Other | |
| 23A. Discharged to (Other, please specify) | o other | Dis To = 8 Other |
| 24. Surgical site infection diagnosis documented | I Yes 2 No 7 Unknown | |
| 24A. Date Surgical Site Infection diagnosis first documented | | Site Infect Dx = 1 Yes |
| 24B. Type of Surgical Site Infection | 1 Superficial 2 Deep 3 Organ space | Site Infect Dx = 1 Yes |
| 24C. Was a swab / sample taken for culture | 1 Yes 2 No 7 Unknown 9 Not documented | Site Infect Dx = 1 Yes |
| 24D. Is a swab / sample result available | 1 Yes 2 No 7 Unknown 9 Not documented | Site Infect Dx = 1 Yes |
| 24D2. If yes, swab / sample result (free text) | | Site Infect Dx = 1 Yes Sample Res Av = 1 Yes |
| 24E. Was the patient treated with antibiotics specifically for an SSI | l Yes 2 No 7 Unknown 9 Not documented | Site Infect Dx = 1 Yes |
| 24E2. If yes, which type of antibiotic was given (free text) | | Site Infect Dx = 1 Yes Antib SSI = 1 Yes |

| 24F. Type of wound treatment | 1 Primary Dressing 2 Antimicrobial Dressing 3 PICO Negative pressure dressing 4 Vac Dressing 5 Surgical Intervention 8 Other 9 Not documented | Site Infect Dx = 1 Yes |
|---|---|--|
| 24F2. Type of wound treatment (Other) | | Site Infect Dx = 1 Yes Type Wound Treat = 8 Other |
| 24G. Was Normothermia Maintained 1 hr post primary surgery | 1 Yes 2 No 7 Unknown 9 Not documented | Site Infect Dx = 1 Yes |
| 24H. Date of last inpatient hip fracture surgery wound review | | Site Infect Dx = 1 Yes |
| 25. Is admission data entry complete for this episode? | 1 Yes, 2 No | |

APPENDIX 5: IHFD DATASET - HIP FRACTURE FOLLOW-UP

| HIPE Portal Data Entry / Hip Fracture Follow-Up (V3.0.1) 01 Jan 202 | 25 |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Question | Options |
| 1. Date of 30 day follow-up | |
| 2. Data reported by | 1 patient-reported |
| | 2 proxy-reported |
| | 3 retrieved from |
| 3. Residential status at 30 days | 0 Inpatient |
| | 1 Home |
| | 2 On-site rehab unit |
| | 3 Off-site rehab unit |
| | 4 Convalescence |
| | 5 New adm NH or LTC |
| | 6 Return adm NH or LTC 7 Other |
| | 8 Died |
| 3A. Date of first discharge to home | 6 Died |
| 3. B Date of Death | |
| 4. New Mobility Score 30 Day Indoor walking | 0 Unable |
| 4. New Mobility Score 30 Day Indoor Walking | 1 Assistance of one person |
| | 2 With an aid |
| | 3 Independent |
| 4A. 30 Day Outdoor walking | 0 Unable |
| 7. 33 Day Outdoor Walking | 1 Assistance of one person |
| | 2 With an aid |
| | 3 Independent |
| 4B. 30 Day Shopping | 0 Unable |
| is so buy enopping | 1 Assistance of one person |
| | 2 With an aid |
| | 3 Independent |
| 4C. 30 days New Mobility Score (Sum A+B+C) | |
| 5. Hip related readmission within 30 days | 1 Yes |
| , , | 2 No |
| 6. Re-operation within 30 days of admission | 0 None |
| | 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis |
| | 2 Washout and debridement |
| | 3 Implant removal |
| | 4 Revision of internal fixation |
| | 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty |
| | 6 Conversion to THR |
| | 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty |
| | 8 Surg for periprosthetic fracture |
| | 9 Not documented |
| 7. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 30 days) | 1 Yes |
| | 2 No |
| | 9 Not documented |
| 7A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 30 days) | |
| 7B. Type of Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 30 days) | 1 Superficial |
| | 2 Deep |
| 0.0 | 3 Organ/Space |
| 8. Bone protection 30 days | 1 Yes |
| | 2 No |
| OA OOL mobility at 70 days | 9 Unknown |
| 9A. QOL mobility at 30 days | No Problems Slight problems |
| | 1 Slight problems |
| | 2 Moderate problems 3 Severe problems |
| | 4 Unable |
| 9B. QOL self care at 30 days | No Problems |
| JD. WOL JOH Care at JO days | 1 Slight problems |
| | 2 Moderate problems |
| | 3 Severe problems |
| | 4 Unable |
| 9C. QOL activities at 30 days | No Problems |
| Joe. QOE activities at 50 days | 1 Slight problems |
| | 2 Moderate problems |
| | 3 Severe problems |
| | 4 Unable |
| 9D. QOL pain at 30 days | 0 No pain |
| JD. GOL pull at JO days | ο πο ραιπ |

| _ | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| | 1 Slight pain |
| | 2 Moderate pain |
| | 3 Severe pain |
| | 4 Extreme pain |
| 9E. QOL anxiety / depression at 30 days | 0 None |
| | 1 Slight |
| | 2 Moderate |
| | 3 Severe |
| | 4 Extreme |
| 9F. QOL score at 30 days (0-100) | |
| 10. Date of 120 day follow-up | |
| 11. Data reported by | 1 patient-reported |
| | 2 proxy-reported |
| | 3 retrieved from records |
| 12. Residential status at 120 days | 0 Inpatient |
| | 1 Home |
| | 2 On-site rehab unit |
| | 3 Off-site rehab unit |
| | 4 Convalescence |
| | 5 New adm NH or LTC |
| | 6 Return adm NH or LTC |
| | 7 Other |
| | 5 Died |
| 12A. Date of first discharge to home if since 30 days | |
| 12B . Date of Death | |
| 13. New Mobility Score 120 day Indoor walking | 0 Unable |
| 13. Tell Floomity 350/6 120 day maoor waining | 1 Assistance of one person |
| | 2 With an aid |
| | 3 Independent |
| 13A. 120 day Outdoor walking | 0 Unable |
| ISA. 120 day Outdoor Walking | 1 Assistance of one person |
| | 2 With an aid |
| | |
| 17D 120 des Chemian | 3 Independent |
| 13B. 120 day Shopping | 0 Unable |
| | 1 Assistance of one person |
| | 2 With an aid |
| 470 400 1 11 14 1111 0 40 1 1 0 0 | 3 independent |
| 13C. 120 days New Mobility Score (Sum A+B+C) | |
| 14. Hip related readmission within 120 days | 1 Yes |
| | 2 No |
| 15. Re-operation within 120 days of admission | 0 None |
| | 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis |
| | 2 Washout and debridement |
| | 3 Implant removal |
| | 4 Revision of internal fixation |
| | 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty |
| | 6 Conversion to THR |
| | 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty |
| | 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx |
| | Not documented |
| 16. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 120 days) | 1 Yes |
| | 2 No |
| | 9 Not documented |
| 16A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 120 days) | |
| 16B. Type of Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 120 days) | 1 Superficial |
| | 2 Deep |
| | 3 Organ/Space |
| 17. Bone protection 120 days | 1 Yes |
| | 2 No |
| | 9 Unknown |
| 18A. QOL mobility at 120 days | 0 No Problems |
| | 1 Slight problems |
| | 2 Moderate problems |
| | 3 Severe problems |
| | 4 Unable |
| 18B. QOL self care at 120 days | 0 No Problems |
| | 1 Slight problems |
| | 2 Moderate problems |
| | 3 Severe problems |
| | 4 Unable |
| 18C. QOL activities at 120 days | 0 No Problems |
| 1 18(()()) activition at 1 /() dave | |

| | 1 |
|--|---|
| | 1 Slight problems |
| | 2 Moderate problems |
| | 3 Severe problems |
| | 4 Unable |
| 18D. QOL pain at 120 days | 0 No pain |
| | 1 Slight pain |
| | 2 Moderate pain |
| | 3 Severe pain |
| | 4 Extreme pain |
| 18E. QOL anxiety / depression at 120 days | 0 None |
| loc. doe anxiety / depression de 120 days | 1 Slight |
| | 2 Moderate |
| | 3 Severe |
| | 4 Extreme |
| 10F 00L seers at 120 days (0.100) | 4 Extreme |
| 18F. QOL score at 120 days (0-100) | |
| 19. Date of 1 year follow-up | |
| 20. Data reported by | 1 patient-reported |
| | 2 proxy-reported |
| | 3 retrieved from |
| 21. Residential status at 1 year | 1 Home |
| | 2 On-site rehab unit |
| | 3 Off-site rehab unit |
| | 4 Convalescence |
| | 5 New adm NH or LTC |
| | 6 Return adm NH or LTC |
| | 7 Other |
| | 8 Died |
| 21A. Date of first discharge to home if since 120 days | o bled |
| | |
| 21B . Date of Death | |
| 22. Indoor Walking at 1 year | 0 Unable |
| | 1 Assistance of one person |
| | 2 With an aid |
| | 3 Independent |
| 22A. Outdoor Walking at 1 year | 0 Unable |
| | 1 Assistance of one person |
| | 2 With an aid |
| | 3 Independent |
| 22B. Shopping at 1 year | 0 Unable |
| 228. Shopping de ryedi | 1 Assistance of one person |
| | 2 With an aid |
| | 3 Independent |
| 22C 1 N M-I-III C (C A - D - C) | 5 independent |
| 22C. 1 year New Mobility Score (Sum A+B+C) | |
| 23. Hip related readmission within 1 Year | |
| | 1 Yes |
| , and the second | 2 No |
| 24. Re-operation within 1 Year of admission | |
| 24. Re-operation within 1 Year of admission | 2 No |
| 24. Re-operation within 1 Year of admission | 2 No 0 None |
| 24. Re-operation within 1 Year of admission | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis |
| 24. Re-operation within 1 Year of admission | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal |
| 24. Re-operation within 1 Year of admission | 2 No O None Reduction of dislocated prosthesis Washout and debridement |
| 24. Re-operation within 1 Year of admission | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation |
| 24. Re-operation within 1 Year of admission | 2 No O None Reduction of dislocated prosthesis Washout and debridement Implant removal Revision of internal fixation Conversion to hemiarthroplasty Conversion to THR |
| 24. Re-operation within 1 Year of admission | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty |
| 24. Re-operation within 1 Year of admission | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx |
| | 2 No O None Reduction of dislocated prosthesis Washout and debridement Implant removal Revision of internal fixation Conversion to hemiarthroplasty Conversion to THR Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty Surg for periprosthetic fx Not documented |
| 24. Re-operation within 1 Year of admission 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes |
| | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) | 2 No O None Reduction of dislocated prosthesis Washout and debridement Implant removal Revision of internal fixation Conversion to hemiarthroplasty Conversion to THR Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty Surg for periprosthetic fx Not documented Yes No Not documented |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No 9 Not documented 1 Superficial 2 Deep |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) | 2 No O None Reduction of dislocated prosthesis Washout and debridement Implant removal Revision of internal fixation Conversion to hemiarthroplasty Conversion to THR Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty Surg for periprosthetic fx Not documented Yes No Not documented |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No 9 Not documented 1 Superficial 2 Deep |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 25B. Type of Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No 9 Not documented 1 Superficial 2 Deep 3 Organ/Space 1 Yes |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 25B. Type of Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No 9 Not documented 1 Superficial 2 Deep 3 Organ/Space 1 Yes 2 No |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 25B. Type of Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 26. Bone protection medication at 1 year | 2 No 0 None 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No 9 Not documented 1 Superficial 2 Deep 3 Organ/Space 1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 25B. Type of Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) | 2 No 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No 9 Not documented 1 Superficial 2 Deep 3 Organ/Space 1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown 0 No Problems |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 25B. Type of Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 26. Bone protection medication at 1 year | 2 No 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No 9 Not documented 1 Superficial 2 Deep 3 Organ/Space 1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown 0 No Problems 1 Slight problems |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 25B. Type of Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 26. Bone protection medication at 1 year | 2 No 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No 9 Not documented 1 Superficial 2 Deep 3 Organ/Space 1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown 0 No Problems 1 Slight problems 2 Moderate problems |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 25B. Type of Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 26. Bone protection medication at 1 year | 2 No 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No 9 Not documented 1 Superficial 2 Deep 3 Organ/Space 1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown 0 No Problems 1 Slight problems 2 Moderate problems 3 Severe problems |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 25B. Type of Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 26. Bone protection medication at 1 year 27A. QOL mobility at 1 year | 2 No 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No 9 Not documented 1 Superficial 2 Deep 3 Organ/Space 1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown 0 No Problems 1 Slight problems 2 Moderate problems 3 Severe problems 4 Unable |
| 25. Diagnosed with surgical site infection (within 1 year) 25A. Date Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 25B. Type of Surgical site infection diagnosed (within 1 year) 26. Bone protection medication at 1 year | 2 No 1 Reduction of dislocated prosthesis 2 Washout and debridement 3 Implant removal 4 Revision of internal fixation 5 Conversion to hemiarthroplasty 6 Conversion to THR 7 Girdlestone/excision arthroplasty 8 Surg for periprosthetic fx 9 Not documented 1 Yes 2 No 9 Not documented 1 Superficial 2 Deep 3 Organ/Space 1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown 0 No Problems 1 Slight problems 2 Moderate problems 3 Severe problems |

| | Tarana arang a |
|---|--|
| | 2 Moderate problems |
| | 3 Severe problems |
| | 4 Unable |
| 27C. QOL activities at 1 year | 0 No Problems |
| | 1 Slight problems |
| | 2 Moderate problems |
| | 3 Severe problems |
| | 4 Unable |
| 27D. QOL pain at 1 year | 0 No pain |
| | 1 Slight pain |
| | 2 Moderate pain |
| | 3 Severe pain |
| | 4 Extreme pain |
| 27E. QOL anxiety / depression at 1 year | 0 None |
| | 1 Slight |
| | 2 Moderate |
| | 3 Severe |
| | 4 Extreme |
| 27F. QOL score at 1 year (0-100) | |

APPENDIX 6: IHFD FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

| Question | Answer |
|--|---|
| What does IHFD stand for? | Irish Hip Fracture Database |
| How do I get access to the IHFD? | Contact the Audit Programme Managers, Trauma & Surgery (<u>Pamelahickey@noca.ie</u>) (<u>maryewalsh@noca.ie</u>), who will then arrange access via the HPO. |
| What do I do if I forget my username and password? | Contact ihfd@noca.ie |
| Can I view anyone else's data? | No; each hospital is registered separately and can only view its local data. |
| Can more than one person in a hospital be given access to the database for data entry? | Yes, as many as you wish; however, the request must come from the clinical lead. |
| How long will it take to enter data? | Entering the data takes less than 15 minutes per patient entry, but time must be factored in for collection of the data (i.e. sourcing notes, access to IT systems, and administrative duties). There are two options for data entry, which will vary according to experience, but will usually consist of the following: |
| | Pre-discharge a. Type in the Medical Record Number, e.g. 1234567. |
| | b. Click on 'New Case'.c. Enter the hip fracture data. |
| | d. Click on 'Store'. |
| | Note: Only select the option 'Store as Non-Admitted Episode' if you are sure the patient was not admitted during this episode of care. If you choose to enter pre-discharge data, the system will automatically merge the hip fracture data and the HIPE data after the patient has been discharged. |
| | 2. Post-discharge |
| | a. Type in the Medical Record Number, e.g. 1234567.b. Click on the relevant discharge date. |
| | c. Enter the hip fracture data under the 'Optional' tab. |
| Once submitted, can | d. Click on 'Store'. Yes, at any time. |
| I retrieve records to edit content? | res, at any time. |
| What if date of trauma is not documented? | If unknown, enter '99-99-9999' for date and time fields only; otherwise, select the option 'Not documented'. Where possible, do not leave any question unanswered. |
| What if the patient is transferred from another hospital? | Document the hospital the patient first presents at, for example if the patient presents at a hospital with no orthopaedic service and has to be transferred to an operating hospital. The clock starts ticking from the time of presentation at the first ED; or, if it is a transfer from within a hospital with no orthopaedic service to an operating hospital, enter the date and time the patient was seen by an orthopaedic team, as this was the most likely time the diagnosis was made. In most cases, the first presenting hospital will be the same as the operating hospital. This should still be documented. |
| If the patient is admitted from within hospital, how do I record this? | We recognise that some patients may sustain a hip fracture while already in hospital or may require acute medical management (i.e. they are not admitted primarily due to a fractured hip). In this case, complete Q5H. |
| What constitutes | Includes dedicated orthopaedic/trauma wards or dedicated geriatrician-staffed hip fracture wards. |
| admission to orthopaedic ward? | Enter 'orthopaedic ward' if the patient was an inpatient on an orthopaedic ward at any time during the acute hip fracture spell. |
| What is the 4AT? | The 4AT is a simple, quick (<2 minutes) and effective bedside tool which helps practitioners to detect delirium in their day-to-day practice. You can download the app below. |
| | https://www.the4at.com/ |
| What fracture type is classed as intertrochanteric? | Basal and basicervical fractures are to be classed as intertrochanteric. |

| Question | Answer |
|--|--|
| What fracture types are recorded in the IHFD? | Hip fracture cases either identified as a HIPE Injury Diagnosis Code S72.00 to S72.2 OR with a specified type of fracture (e.g. intracapsular - displaced, intracapsular - undisplaced, intertrochanteric, or subtrochanteric) are recorded in the IHFD. |
| What is arthroplasty? | Any replacement of the upper femur, including unipolar and bipolar hemiarthroplasties and total hip replacements. |
| What is a pathological fracture? | A broken bone, caused not by trauma alone, but so weakened by disease as to break with abnormal ease. Pathological fractures are characteristic of primary and metastatic malignant disease and <u>myeloma</u> . Answer 'malignancy' only if a primary or secondary malignancy is present at the fracture site. |
| What is an atypical fracture? | Atypical fractures are transverse femoral fractures with an unusual cortical spike medially which occur in the subtrochanteric and shaft regions (you should only enter subtrochanteric fractures to the database). They follow low-trauma injuries and patients may report pre-injury pain. |
| What are normal working hours? | The National Confidential Enquiry into Perioperative Deaths reports from 1997 and 2003 define 'out of hours' as any time outside of 8.00am to 5.59pm on weekdays, and any time on a Saturday or Sunday. |
| When is considered the time of primary surgery? | The time of primary surgery is taken from the time of induction of anaesthesia. The time is shown in hours to two decimal places, e.g. 1.25 = 1 hour 15 minutes, 3.50 = 3 hours 30 minutes, and 2.67 = 2 hours 40 minutes. |
| When does the clock start ticking? | As soon as the patient arrives in an ED or is seen by the orthopaedic team in the operating hospital following an inpatient fall. |
| What is an ASA grade? | The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) devised a pre-operative risk grade based on the presence of comorbidities at the time of surgery. The ASA's (Dripps, 1963) physical status classification is: 1. Healthy person. 2. Mild systemic disease. 3. Severe systemic disease. 4. Severe systemic disease that is a constant threat to life. 5. A moribund person who is not expected to survive with or without the operation. This grading does not take into account acute illness, hence a patient can be ASA 1 and 'unfit'. |
| What is meant by 'Routine by geriatrician'? | Review by a geriatrician at the registrar level or above. |
| What is meant by 'Review by ANP'? | A review by an advanced nurse practitioner (ANP) is considered the same as a review by a geriatrician. |
| What is meant by 'Medical review following request'? | Review by a member of the medical team at the registrar level or above following a request from the orthopaedic service or ED. |
| Reasons for delay to surgery | Please document only the main reason for delay. Options are: Medically unfit – awaiting orthopaedic diagnosis/investigation: this means waiting for a magnetic resonance imaging scan or other confirmation of diagnosis. Medically unfit – awaiting medical review, investigation or stability: this means waiting for a medical review, as the patient remains medically unfit for surgery/anaesthetic. (If this option is selected, a free text box will appear on the database to be populated with a brief description of the medical issues.) Administrative/logistic – awaiting inpatient or high-dependency bed. Administrative/logistic – awaiting space on theatre list. Administrative/logistic – problem with theatre/equipment. Administrative/logistic – problem with theatre/surgical/anaesthetic staff cover. Cancelled due to theatre overrun: this option is to be used when the patient has been allocated a theatre slot, but for some reason the list has overrun. Issues due to anticoagulation Other: any reason other than those given in the list above. If you select 'Other', an empty box will appear so you can populate it with the reason for delay. |
| What definition of pressure ulcer is used for the IHFD? What is the definition of a ward round? | No operation performed. Did the patient acquire a new pressure ulcer (Grade 2 or above) during the acute admission? This should be answered as 'yes' only if the patient has developed a Grade 2 pressure ulcer or above during their acute orthopaedic admission. Ignore ulcers acquired during an acute stay but which were acquired more than 120 days after admission. If nothing is documented and the patient has left the hospital, 'not documented' must be recorded. The ward round is a parade through the hospital of professionals where most decisions concerning patient care are made. The round provides an opportunity for the multidisciplinary team to listen to the patient's narrative and jointly interpret their concerns. From this unfold diagnosis, management plans, prognosis formation, and the opportunity to explore social, psychological, rehabilitation and placement issues. Physical examination of the patient at the bedside remains important (O'Hare, 2008). |

| Question | Answer | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| What is the definition of mobilised? | Mobilised means that, at a minimum, th must be done by a physiotherapist on the | | | | |
| What is a specialist falls assessment? | A systematic assessment by a suitably trained person, e.g. a geriatrician or a specialist assessment trained nurse, which must cover the following domains: • falls history (noting previous falls) • cause of index fall (including medication review) • risk factors for falling and injury (including fracture) • medication review. From this information, the assessor must formulate and document a plan of action to prevent further falls. | | | | |
| What is the definition of multidisciplinary rehabilitation assessment team? | A group of people of different profession therapist, nurse and doctor) with job platents, and who convene (including weekly) to discuss patient treatment an | an responsibilities for the assessm face to face or via a virtual war | ent and treatment of hip fracture d round) regularly (and at least | | |
| What drugs | Not just calcium and vitamin D: | | | | |
| constitute bone protection therapy? | 1. Bisphosphonates (oral, combined with calcium/vitamin D, intravenously) Etidronate Alendronate Risedronate Ibandronate Zoledronate Pamidronate | Parathyroid hormone PTH 1-34 PTH 1-84 | | | |
| | 2. Denosumab 5. 3. HRT and SERMS HRT (various) Tibolone Raloxifene | Strontium Strontium ranelate Calcium and vitamin D Calcitriol Calcium and vitamin D - various Alpha-calcidol (or One alpha) Calcitonin | | | |
| What is the minimum age? | We collect data on all patients aged 18 y and over in the national report. | | ally report on those aged 60 years | | |
| What is the pre- fracture New Mobility Score? | This was a new field for 2016, and there regarding the collection of this score. If you do not have a score recorded for with your physiotherapy colleagues to compare the colleagues to compare the colleagues. | any of Q7A, Q7B and Q7C, then | leave them blank: you can link in | | |
| How do I calculate Q7D if not all fields are answered? | Q7D will auto-calculate. | | | | |
| Does the CAS need to be recorded daily? | No, just on the day after surgery and ag | ain on the day of acute hospital d | lischarge. | | |
| In relation to the CAS, what happens if the patient is discharged at the weekend and there are no physiotherapists on duty? | Retrospectively fill it in on the following last physiotherapist's note if it was received: | | te on the day of discharge, or the | | |
| What is required for Q23 – where was the patient discharged to following the acute hospital spell? | Fill in the option which represents the real ocation other than home. | eason/intent for the patient's initi | ial care after being discharged to | | |

| The next section relate | s to SSI additional information and resources can be found in the Framework link. https://www.hpsc.ie/a- |
|---|---|
| | s to SSI additional information and resources can be found in the Framework link. https://www.npsc.ie/a-robialresistance/infectioncontrolandhai/quidelines/SSI_Framework_HSE_Final.pdf |
| Was skin preparation preformed pre-op? | Skin prep is defined as the cleaning of the skin prior to incision. All incision sites should be prepared with an alcohol-based solution to reduce the risk of contaminationRemoving microorganisms that normally colonise on the skin reduces risk of contamination. |
| Skin preparation used | Is defined as the solution used to clean the skin prior to skin incision. |
| Wound classification: | The degree of risk is also associated with area prior to incision and can be classified into |
| CleanContaminatedDirty | Clean wounds. These are not inflamed or contaminated and do not involve operating on an internal organ. Clean-contaminated wounds. These have no evidence of infection at the time of surgery, but do involve operating on an internal organ. |
| | Contaminated wounds. These involve operating on an internal organ with a spilling of contents from the organ into the wound. Dirty wounds. These are wounds in which a known infection is present at the time of the surgery. Note that no spillage, urine, etc. before closure. |
| Was antibiotic prophylaxis administered to reduce the risk of SSI? | These are antibiotics prophylactically administered to prevent the multiplication of micro-organisms at the operative site, which are given AT INDUCTION (WITHIN 60 MINUTES BEFORE SKIN INCISION). In surgery where a tourniquet is to be applied, a 15 minute period is required between the end of antibiotic administration, and tourniquet application. https://www.hse.ie/eng/services/list/2/gp/antibiotic-prescribing/hospital-related-guidelines/antibiotic- |
| | prophylaxis-in-surgery.html |
| What antibiotic prophylaxis was administered to reduce the risk of SSI? | Examples provided by AMRIC: |
| Was the patient receiving any other antibiotic PATOS (present at the time of surgery) | If the patient is receiving another antibiotic, i.e. to treat a chest infection or UTI at the time of surgery only. |
| Skin closure type | Skin closure type is defined as the method used to complete primary closure of the skin level during the original surgery, regardless of the presence of wires, wicks, drains, or other devices or objects extruding through the incision. |
| Wound dressing applied | Defined as the dressing applied in the operating room to cover the surgical incision. |
| Finish time | The time when surgery ends is when all instruments and sponges are counted and verified as correct. Post-operative radiological studies to be done in the operating room are completed. All drains and dressings are secured. The surgeons have completed all surgical related procedures on the patient. |
| What is a surgical site diagnosis? | Surgical site infection is a diagnosis made by a clinician under the following criteria of superficial, deep and organ space. For more information on surgical site infection surveillance follow the Framework: https://www.hpsc.ie/a-z/microbiologyantimicrobialresistance/infectioncontrolandhai/quidelines/ |
| What normothermia maintained? | Within 1 hour of the end of an operation, a patient's temperature is between 35.5° and 37.5° non-rectal; between 36° and 38° for a rectal measurement. Temperature should be recorded in the recovery room. |
| Date of hip fracture wound dressing check | The last documented wound review before discharge. |
| Can I enter SSI data after the patient has been discharged? | Yes. Although not mandatory, data of SSI for implant surgery can be recorded up to 90 days on implant insertion. |
| What is a swab/sample? | A swab/sample is a sample that is taken from a suspected surgical site infection source to obtain a culture. |

APPENDIX 7: FREQUENCY TABLES

FIGURE 1.1. NUMBER OF HIP FRACTURE CASES PER HOSPITAL RECORDED ON HIPE (N=4438 AND IHFD (N=4228)

| Hospital | IHFD | HIPE |
|--------------|------|------|
| | n | N |
| National | 4228 | 4438 |
| Cork | 515 | 524 |
| Waterford | 452 | 458 |
| St Vincent's | 360 | 389 |
| Limerick | 317 | 328 |
| Tullamore | 314 | 319 |
| Tallaght | 273 | 277 |
| Drogheda | 272 | 273 |
| Beaumont | 266 | 271 |
| Connolly | 261 | 262 |
| Galway | 253 | 253 |
| St James's | 225 | 225 |
| Mater | 186 | 189 |
| Kerry | 167 | 168 |
| Letterkenny | 167 | 169 |
| Sligo | 104 | 156 |
| Mayo | 96 | 177 |

FIGURE 1.2 COVERAGE BY YEAR 2020-2024 (BASED ON HIPE)

| Year IHFD | | HIPE | % Coverage | |
|-----------|------|------|------------|--|
| 2020 | 3610 | 3642 | 99.1% | |
| 2021 | 3743 | 3795 | 98.6% | |
| 2022 | 3847 | 4105 | 93.7% | |
| 2023 | 3941 | 4233 | 93.1% | |
| 2024 | 4228 | 4438 | 95.3% | |

FIGURE 1.3 COVERAGE PERCENTAGES PER HOSPITAL IN 2024

| Hospital | Coverage |
|--------------|----------|
| | % |
| Galway | 100% |
| St James's | 100% |
| Connolly | 100% |
| Drogheda | 100% |
| Kerry | 99% |
| Letterkenny | 99% |
| Waterford | 99% |
| Tallaght | 99% |
| Mater | 98% |
| Tullamore | 98% |
| Cork | 98% |
| Beaumont | 98% |
| Limerick | 97% |
| St Vincent's | 93% |
| Sligo | 67% |
| Mayo | 54% |
| National | 95% |

FIGURE 2.1: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS NATIONALLY WHO MET EACH IRISH HIP FRACTURE STANDARD IN 2023 (N=3983) AND 2024 (N=4294)

| Standard | | 2023 | | | 2024 | | | |
|----------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|--|--|
| | n | N | % | n | N | % | | |
| IHFS1 | 1173 | 3983 | 29% | 1566 | 4294 | 36% | | |
| IHFS2 | 2902 | 3845 | 75% | 3189 | 4124 | 77% | | |
| IHFS3 | 135 | 3803 | 4% | 150 | 4112 | 4% | | |
| IHFS4 | 3300 | 3983 | 83% | 3705 | 4294 | 86% | | |
| IHFS5 | 3314 | 3803 | 87% | 3692 | 4112 | 90% | | |
| IHFS6 | 3174 | 3803 | 83% | 3586 | 4112 | 87% | | |
| IHFS7 | 3339 | 3845 | 87% | 3520 | 4124 | 85% | | |

FIGURE 2.2: IRISH HIP FRACTURE STANDARD 1: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS ADMITTED TO AN ORTHOPAEDIC WARD WITHIN 4 HOURS OF FIRST PRESENTATION OR ADMITTED TO THEATRE FROM THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT WITHIN 4 HOURS BY INDIVIDUAL HOSPITAL, 2023 (N=3983) AND 2024 (N=4294)

| | 2023 | | | 2024 | | | |
|--------------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|--|
| Hospital | | | | | | | |
| | n | N | % | n | N | % | |
| St James's | 100 | 161 | 62% | 157 | 230 | 68% | |
| Kerry | 58 | 171 | 34% | 108 | 167 | 65% | |
| Drogheda | 160 | 263 | 61% | 159 | 287 | 55% | |
| Mater | 80 | 150 | 53% | 104 | 192 | 54% | |
| Tallaght | 91 | 226 | 40% | 143 | 273 | 52% | |
| Tullamore | 107 | 269 | 40% | 143 | 315 | 45% | |
| Limerick | 96 | 330 | 29% | 127 | 323 | 39% | |
| Waterford | 143 | 477 | 30% | 168 | 452 | 37% | |
| Beaumont | 51 | 269 | 19% | 94 | 268 | 35% | |
| Cork | 158 | 490 | 32% | 154 | 531 | 29% | |
| Connolly | 38 | 271 | 14% | 74 | 261 | 28% | |
| St Vincent's | 48 | 392 | 12% | 83 | 363 | 23% | |
| Galway | 6 | 216 | 3% | 14 | 253 | 6% | |
| Letterkenny | 9 | 159 | 6% | 4 | 177 | 2% | |
| National | 1173 | 3983 | 29% | 1566 | 4294 | 36% | |

FIGURE 2.3: IRISH HIP FRACTURE STANDARD 2: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS RECEIVING SURGERY WITHIN 48 HOURS OF FIRST PRESENTATION (AND WITHIN NORMAL WORKING HOURS) BY INDIVIDUAL HOSPITAL, 2024 (n=4124) AND 2023 (n=3845)

| Hospital | 2023 | | | 2024 | | |
|--------------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| | n | N | % | n | N | % |
| Mater | 106 | 142 | 75% | 156 | 176 | 89% |
| St Vincent's | 342 | 380 | 90% | 317 | 359 | 88% |
| Tallaght | 180 | 215 | 84% | 226 | 257 | 88% |
| Galway | 181 | 210 | 86% | 206 | 240 | 86% |
| Waterford | 339 | 466 | 73% | 348 | 436 | 80% |
| St James's | 130 | 153 | 85% | 170 | 219 | 78% |
| Connolly | 194 | 261 | 74% | 192 | 250 | 77% |
| Kerry | 114 | 161 | 71% | 114 | 154 | 74% |
| Cork | 335 | 484 | 69% | 383 | 522 | 73% |
| Beaumont | 187 | 249 | 75% | 180 | 253 | 71% |
| Drogheda | 188 | 245 | 77% | 193 | 276 | 70% |
| Limerick | 221 | 322 | 69% | 219 | 315 | 70% |
| Tullamore | 185 | 262 | 71% | 205 | 297 | 69% |
| Letterkenny | 101 | 159 | 64% | 109 | 172 | 63% |
| National | 2902 | 3845 | 75% | 3189 | 4124 | 77% |

Patients who did not have surgery have been excluded; Sligo and Mayo are not shown in the hospital-level results as their coverage was <80% for 2024

FIGURE 2.4: IRISH HIP FRACTURE STANDARD 3: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS WHO DEVELOPED PRESSURE ULCERS FOLLOWING ADMISSION FROM 2024 (n=4112) vs 2023 (n=3803)

| Hospital | 2023 | | | 2024 | | | |
|--------------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|--|
| | n | N | % | n | N | % | |
| Tallaght | 7 | 212 | 3% | 26 | 260 | 10% | |
| Galway | 25 | 201 | 12% | 17 | 232 | 7% | |
| Mater | 6 | 137 | 4% | 9 | 182 | 5% | |
| Beaumont | 8 | 263 | 3% | 12 | 247 | 5% | |
| St James's | ~ | 157 | * | 10 | 214 | 5% | |
| Waterford | 28 | 461 | 6% | 16 | 439 | 4% | |
| Cork | 10 | 463 | 2% | 16 | 506 | 3% | |
| Tullamore | 9 | 264 | 3% | 8 | 302 | 3% | |
| Kerry | ~ | 165 | * | ~ | 162 | * | |
| Connolly | ~ | 265 | * | 6 | 255 | 2% | |
| Limerick | 12 | 315 | 4% | 7 | 313 | 2% | |
| Letterkenny | ~ | 152 | * | ~ | 170 | * | |
| Drogheda | 7 | 253 | 3% | ~ | 277 | * | |
| St Vincent's | 6 | 365 | 2% | ~ | 354 | * | |
| National | 135 | 3803 | 4% | 150 | 4112 | 4% | |

Patients who died have been excluded; Sligo and Mayo are not shown in the hospital-level results as their coverage was <80% for 2024

[~] Denotes five cases or fewer.

^{*} Further suppression required to prevent disclosure of five cases or fewer.

FIGURE 2.5: IRISH HIP FRACTURE STANDARD 4: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS SEEN BY A GERIATRICIAN OR ADVANCED NURSE PRACTITIONER 2024 (N=4294) vs 2023 (N=3983)

| Hospital | | 2023 | | | 2024 | |
|--------------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| | n | N | % | n | Ν | % |
| Mater | 149 | 150 | 99% | 190 | 192 | 99% |
| Cork | 481 | 490 | 98% | 516 | 531 | 97% |
| St Vincent's | 383 | 392 | 98% | 352 | 363 | 97% |
| St James's | 156 | 161 | 97% | 223 | 230 | 97% |
| Tallaght | 208 | 226 | 92% | 261 | 273 | 96% |
| Connolly | 259 | 271 | 96% | 245 | 261 | 94% |
| Waterford | 459 | 477 | 96% | 423 | 452 | 94% |
| Drogheda | 117 | 263 | 44% | 268 | 287 | 93% |
| Galway | 207 | 216 | 96% | 233 | 253 | 92% |
| Beaumont | 176 | 269 | 65% | 244 | 268 | 91% |
| Tullamore | 235 | 269 | 87% | 263 | 315 | 83% |
| Letterkenny | 124 | 159 | 78% | 135 | 177 | 76% |
| Kerry | 130 | 171 | 76% | 118 | 167 | 71% |
| Limerick | 103 | 330 | 31% | 97 | 323 | 30% |
| National | 3300 | 3983 | 83% | 3705 | 4294 | 86% |

FIGURE 2.6: IRISH HIP FRACTURE STANDARD 5: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS WHO RECEIVED A BONE HEALTH ASSESSMENT, BY INDIVIDUAL HOSPITAL IN 2023 (n=3803) AND 2024 (n=4112)

| Hospital | 2023 | | | 2024 | | | |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|--|
| | n | N | % | n | N | % | |
| Cork | 463 | 463 | 100% | 503 | 506 | 99% | |
| St James's | 155 | 157 | 99% | 212 | 214 | 99% | |
| Letterkenny | 151 | 152 | 99% | 167 | 170 | 98% | |
| St Vincent's | 360 | 365 | 99% | 346 | 354 | 98% | |
| Connolly | 251 | 265 | 95% | 244 | 255 | 96% | |
| Galway | 189 | 201 | 94% | 221 | 232 | 95% | |
| Tallaght | 186 | 212 | 88% | 245 | 260 | 94% | |
| Waterford | 446 | 461 | 97% | 412 | 439 | 94% | |
| Drogheda | 201 | 253 | 79% | 259 | 277 | 94% | |
| Beaumont | 162 | 263 | 62% | 228 | 247 | 92% | |
| Tullamore | 240 | 264 | 91% | 275 | 302 | 91% | |
| Mater | 133 | 137 | 97% | 164 | 182 | 90% | |
| Kerry | 155 | 165 | 94% | 133 | 162 | 82% | |
| Limerick | 112 | 315 | 36% | 110 | 313 | 35% | |
| National | 3314 | 3803 | 87% | 3692 | 4112 | 90% | |

Patients who died have been excluded; Sligo and Mayo are not shown in the hospital-level results as their coverage was <80% for 2024

FIGURE 2.7: IRISH HIP FRACTURE STANDARD 6: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS WHO RECEIVED A SPECIALIST FALLS ASSESSMENT, BY INDIVIDUAL HOSPITAL IN 2023 (n=3803) AND 2024 (n=4112)

| Hospital | 2023 | | | | 2024 | | | |
|--------------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|--|--|
| | n | N | % | n | Ν | % | | |
| St James's | 156 | 157 | 99% | 211 | 214 | 99% | | |
| Mater | 135 | 137 | 99% | 179 | 182 | 98% | | |
| Cork | 460 | 463 | 99% | 497 | 506 | 98% | | |
| St Vincent's | 362 | 365 | 99% | 345 | 354 | 97% | | |
| Drogheda | 97 | 253 | 38% | 260 | 277 | 94% | | |
| Tallaght | 197 | 212 | 93% | 244 | 260 | 94% | | |
| Connolly | 248 | 265 | 94% | 238 | 255 | 93% | | |
| Galway | 180 | 201 | 90% | 216 | 232 | 93% | | |
| Waterford | 446 | 461 | 97% | 408 | 439 | 93% | | |
| Kerry | 160 | 165 | 97% | 150 | 162 | 93% | | |
| Tullamore | 236 | 264 | 89% | 277 | 302 | 92% | | |
| Beaumont | 159 | 263 | 60% | 225 | 247 | 91% | | |
| Letterkenny | 127 | 152 | 84% | 121 | 170 | 71% | | |
| Limerick | 98 | 315 | 31% | 87 | 313 | 28% | | |
| National | 3174 | 3803 | 83% | 3586 | 4112 | 87% | | |

Patients who died have been excluded; Sligo and Mayo are not shown in the hospital-level results as their coverage was <80% for 2024

FIGURE 2.8: IRISH HIP FRACTURE STANDARD 7: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS MOBILISED BY A PHYSIOTHERAPIST ON THE DAY OF SURGERY OR THE DAY AFTER SURGERY, BY INDIVIDUAL HOSPITAL 2024 (n=4124) AND 2023 (n=3845)

| Hospital | 2023 | | | 2024 | | |
|--------------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| | n | N | % | n | N | % |
| Cork | 453 | 484 | 94% | 498 | 522 | 95% |
| Tullamore | 235 | 262 | 90% | 273 | 297 | 92% |
| Connolly | 237 | 261 | 91% | 227 | 250 | 91% |
| Galway | 199 | 210 | 95% | 215 | 240 | 90% |
| St James's | 143 | 153 | 93% | 192 | 219 | 88% |
| Mater | 123 | 142 | 87% | 153 | 176 | 87% |
| Tallaght | 164 | 215 | 76% | 217 | 257 | 84% |
| Drogheda | 197 | 245 | 80% | 229 | 276 | 83% |
| Waterford | 432 | 466 | 93% | 359 | 436 | 82% |
| Limerick | 253 | 322 | 79% | 258 | 315 | 82% |
| Letterkenny | 148 | 159 | 93% | 136 | 172 | 79% |
| St Vincent's | 322 | 380 | 85% | 283 | 359 | 79% |
| Kerry | 128 | 161 | 80% | 119 | 154 | 77% |
| Beaumont | 189 | 249 | 76% | 186 | 253 | 74% |
| National | 3339 | 3845 | 87% | 3520 | 4124 | 85% |

Patients who did not have surgery have been excluded; Sligo and Mayo are not shown in the hospital-level results as their coverage was <80% for 2024

FIGURE 2.10: TOTAL BEST PRACTICE TARIFFS PAYMENTS BY HOSPITAL IN 2024 (in 1,000 Euro)

| Hospital | BPT |
|--------------|------|
| Letterkenny | €1 |
| Mayo | €2 |
| Sligo | €5 |
| Galway | €9 |
| Limerick | €23 |
| Kerry | €45 |
| Connolly | €48 |
| Beaumont | €49 |
| St Vincent's | €60 |
| Tullamore | €70 |
| Mater | €72 |
| Tallaght | €91 |
| Drogheda | €94 |
| Waterford | €102 |
| St James's | €105 |
| Cork | €115 |

FIGURE 4.1: PLACE OF INJURY

| Place of Injury | n | % |
|-----------------|------|------|
| Home | 2647 | 66% |
| Institution | 591 | 15% |
| Public area | 495 | 12% |
| Other | 219 | 5% |
| Farm | 27 | 1% |
| Industrial | 6 | 0% |
| Total | 3985 | 100% |

FIGURE 4.2: NUMBER OF HIP FRACTURES SUSTAINED AS AN INPATIENT BY YEAR 2020-2024

| Year | n | Total | % |
|------|----|-------|----|
| 2020 | 73 | 3666 | 2% |
| 2021 | 73 | 3806 | 2% |
| 2022 | 59 | 3909 | 2% |
| 2023 | 79 | 3983 | 2% |
| 2024 | 71 | 4294 | 2% |
| 2025 | 20 | 1071 | 2% |

FIGURE 4.3: CUMULATIVE TIME TO SURGERY 2024

| TIME TO SURGERY (in hours) | % |
|----------------------------|-----|
| <12 | 7% |
| <24 | 44% |
| <36 | 61% |
| <48 | 78% |
| <60 | 84% |
| <72 | 90% |
| <84 | 93% |
| <96 | 95% |
| <108 | 96% |
| <120 | 97% |
| ≥120 | 99% |

FIGURE 4.4: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS WHO RECEIVED A NERVE BLOCK PRE-OPERATIVELY ASSESSMENT, BY HOSPITAL

| | Nerve | block | No nerv | e block | Not k | nown | T. 1. 1 |
|--------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|-------|------|----------------|
| Hospital | n | % | n | % | n | % | Total |
| Cork | 522 | 100% | 11 | /0 | 11 | /0 | 522 |
| Limerick | 296 | 94% | * | * | ~ | * | 315 |
| Tullamore | 277 | 93% | 20 | 7% | | | 297 |
| Connolly | 229 | 92% | 11 | 4% | 10 | 4% | 250 |
| Drogheda | 241 | 87% | 35 | 13% | | | 276 |
| Tallaght | 222 | 86% | * | * | ~ | * | 257 |
| Beaumont | 217 | 86% | 22 | 9% | 14 | 6% | 253 |
| Galway | 197 | 82% | * | * | ~ | * | 240 |
| Mater | 144 | 82% | 15 | 9% | 17 | 10% | 176 |
| St Vincent's | 292 | 81% | 21 | 6% | 46 | 13% | 359 |
| Kerry | 120 | 78% | 15 | 10% | 19 | 12% | 154 |
| Letterkenny | 125 | 73% | 18 | 10% | 29 | 17% | 172 |
| Waterford | 315 | 72% | 113 | 26% | 8 | 2% | 436 |
| St James's | 158 | 72% | 61 | 28% | | | 219 |
| National | 3480 | 84% | 488 | 12% | 156 | 4% | 4124 |

Patients who did not have surgery have been excluded; Sligo and Mayo are not shown in the hospital-level results as their coverage was <80% for 2024

FIGURE 4.5: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS BY NUTRITIONAL RISK ASSESSMENT, BY HOSPITAL 2024 (N=4294)

| Hospital | Indicate | s normal | Indicates Indicates malnourished risk of malnutrition | | No ass perf | Total | | | |
|--------------|----------|----------|---|-----|----------------|-------|------|-----|------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | |
| Waterford | 374 | 83% | 26 | 6% | 44 | 10% | 8 | 2% | 452 |
| Drogheda | 221 | 77% | ~ | * | * | * | 44 | 15% | 287 |
| Beaumont | 201 | 75% | | | 28 | 10% | 39 | 15% | 268 |
| Cork | 365 | 69% | ~ | * | * | * | 11 | 2% | 531 |
| St James's | 156 | 68% | | | 42 | 18% | 32 | 14% | 230 |
| Limerick | 194 | 60% | 16 | 5% | 20 | 6% | 93 | 29% | 323 |
| Tallaght | 141 | 52% | 19 | 7% | 26 | 10% | 87 | 32% | 273 |
| Galway | 112 | 44% | 6 | 2% | 65 | 26% | 70 | 28% | 253 |
| Letterkenny | 78 | 44% | | | 74 | 42% | 25 | 14% | 177 |
| Kerry | 69 | 41% | ~ | * | * | * | 8 | 5% | 167 |
| Mater | 52 | 27% | 27 | 14% | 53 | 28% | 60 | 31% | 192 |
| St Vincent's | 94 | 26% | 13 | 4% | 14 | 4% | 242 | 67% | 363 |
| Connolly | 48 | 18% | | | 6 | 2% | 207 | 79% | 261 |
| Tullamore | | | ~ | * | ~ | * | 311 | 99% | 315 |
| National | 2272 | 53% | 112 | 3% | 658 | 15% | 1252 | 29% | 4294 |

[~] Denotes five cases or fewer.

^{*} Further suppression required to prevent disclosure of five cases or fewer.

<sup>Denotes five cases or fewer.
* Further suppression required to prevent disclosure of five cases or fewer.</sup>

FIGURE 4.6: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS RECORDED AS HAVING A 4AT DELIRIUM ASSESSMENT AT DAY 1, BY HOSPITAL, 2024. (N=4294)

| Hospital | Y | Yes | | lo | Una | able | Not doc | umented | Total |
|--------------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|---------|---------|-------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | |
| Drogheda | 277 | 97% | 7 | 2% | ~ | * | * | * | 287 |
| Cork | 462 | 87% | * | * | ~ | * | 57 | 11% | 531 |
| Tallaght | 235 | 86% | 33 | 12% | ~ | * | ~ | * | 273 |
| St Vincent's | 287 | 79% | 51 | 14% | 25 | 7% | | | 363 |
| Connolly | 191 | 73% | 67 | 26% | ~ | * | ~ | * | 261 |
| Galway | 179 | 71% | 30 | 12% | 10 | 4% | 34 | 13% | 253 |
| Letterkenny | 118 | 67% | 28 | 16% | * | * | ~ | * | 177 |
| Mater | 106 | 55% | 62 | 32% | ~ | * | * | * | 192 |
| Waterford | 207 | 46% | 193 | 43% | | | 52 | 12% | 452 |
| Kerry | 62 | 37% | 91 | 54% | ~ | * | * | * | 167 |
| Beaumont | 27 | 10% | 241 | 90% | | | | | 268 |
| St James's | 9 | 4% | 220 | 96% | ~ | * | * | * | 230 |
| Tullamore | * | * | 199 | 63% | ~ | * | 103 | 33% | 315 |
| Limerick | * | * | 269 | 83% | 40 | 12% | ~ | * | 323 |
| National | 2328 | 54% | 1537 | 36% | 120 | 3% | 309 | 7% | 4294 |

Sligo and Mayo are not shown in the hospital-level results as their coverage was <80% for 2024

FIGURE 4.7: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS RECORDED AS HAVING A 4AT DELIRIUM ASSESSMENT AT DAY 3, BY HOSPITAL, 2024 (N=4294)

| | ., | - | | | | | | ot | |
|--------------|------|-----|------|-----|----|------|-------|--------|-------|
| Hospital | Y | es | N | lo | Un | able | docun | nented | Total |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | |
| Drogheda | 256 | 89% | 26 | 9% | ~ | * | * | * | 287 |
| Cork | 456 | 86% | 8 | 2% | ~ | * | * | * | 531 |
| Tallaght | 228 | 84% | 40 | 15% | ~ | * | * | * | 273 |
| Galway | 165 | 65% | 38 | 15% | ~ | * | * | * | 253 |
| Mater | 102 | 53% | 64 | 33% | ~ | * | * | * | 192 |
| Limerick | 165 | 51% | 111 | 34% | 41 | 13% | * | * | 323 |
| Connolly | 109 | 42% | 147 | 56% | 2 | * | ~ | * | 261 |
| Waterford | 171 | 38% | * | * | ~ | * | 271 | 60% | 452 |
| Kerry | 48 | 29% | 102 | 61% | 7 | 4% | 10 | 6% | 167 |
| St James's | 38 | 17% | 190 | 83% | ~ | * | * | * | 230 |
| St Vincent's | 36 | 10% | 304 | 84% | 23 | 6% | | | 363 |
| Letterkenny | 9 | 5% | ~ | * | | | 165 | 93% | 177 |
| Tullamore | 7 | 2% | 204 | 65% | ~ | * | 103 | 33% | 315 |
| Beaumont | ~ | * | 263 | 98% | * | * | * | * | 268 |
| National | 1809 | 42% | 1612 | 38% | 99 | 2% | 774 | 18% | 4294 |

[~] Denotes five cases or fewer.

^{*} Further suppression required to prevent disclosure of five cases or fewer.

Denotes five cases or fewer.
 Further suppression required to prevent disclosure of five cases or fewer.

FIGURE 4.8: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS RECORDED AS HAVING A 4AT DELIRIUM ASSESSMENT AT ANY OTHER TIMEPOINT, BY HOSPITAL, 2024 (N=4294)

| Hospital | Y | es | No | | Una | Unable | | Not documented | |
|--------------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|--------|-----|-------------------|-------|
| • | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | Total |
| Cork | 456 | 86% | 8 | 2% | ~ | * | * | * | 531 |
| Kerry | 127 | 76% | 24 | 14% | 6 | 4% | 10 | 6% | 167 |
| Tallaght | 183 | 67% | 76 | 28% | ~ | * | * | * | 273 |
| Drogheda | 190 | 66% | 89 | 31% | ~ | * | ~ | * | 287 |
| Mater | 95 | 49% | 61 | 32% | ~ | * | * | * | 192 |
| Waterford | 215 | 48% | 3 | 1% | 6 | 1% | 228 | 50% | 452 |
| St Vincent's | 160 | 44% | 180 | 50% | 23 | 6% | | | 363 |
| St James's | 84 | 37% | 145 | 63% | ~ | * | * | * | 230 |
| Letterkenny | 59 | 33% | 6 | 3% | ~ | * | * | * | 177 |
| Connolly | 73 | 28% | 182 | 70% | ~ | * | ~ | * | 261 |
| Beaumont | 63 | 24% | 205 | 76% | _ | _ | | _ | 268 |
| Tullamore | 74 | 23% | 159 | 50% | ~ | * | * | * | 315 |
| Galway | 55 | 22% | 74 | 29% | | | 124 | 49% | 253 |
| Limerick | 36 | 11% | 237 | 73% | 41 | 13% | 9 | 3% | 323 |
| National | 1928 | 45% | 1507 | 35% | 98 | 2% | 761 | 18% | 4294 |

FIGURE 4.9: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS GROUPED BY 4AT SCORE ON DAY 1 OF ADMISSION (N=2336), DAY 3 (N=1823), AND ANY OTHER TIME (N=1930)

| Results | | 2024 | | | | | | | |
|--------------|------|----------|------|-------|----------------|------|--|--|--|
| | At o | At day 1 | | lay 3 | Any other time | | | | |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | | | |
| Not detected | 1645 | 70% | 1087 | 60% | 1136 | 59% | | | |
| Mild | 409 | 18% | 397 | 22% | 394 | 20% | | | |
| Strong | 282 | 12% | 339 | 19% | 400 | 21% | | | |
| Total | 2336 | 100% | 1823 | 100% | 1930 | 100% | | | |

FIGURE 4.10. TYPE OF SURGERY IN 2024 (N=4124)

| Type of Surgery | 2024 | 2024 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|--|--|--|
| | n | % | | | |
| Arthroplasty hemi cemented | 1607 | 39% | | | |
| Internal fixation IM nail (short) | 811 | 20% | | | |
| Internal fixation IM nail (long) | 590 | 14% | | | |
| Arthroplasty hemi uncemented | 502 | 12% | | | |
| Internal fixation dynamic hips screw | 327 | 8% | | | |
| Other | 97 | 2% | | | |
| Arthroplasty THR cemented | 75 | 2% | | | |
| Arthroplasty THR uncemented | 71 | 2% | | | |
| Internal fixation screws | 44 | 1% | | | |
| Total | 4124 | 100% | | | |

[~] Denotes five cases or fewer.

^{*} Further suppression required to prevent disclosure of five cases or fewer.

FIGURE 4.11: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS WITH CEMENTED AND UNCEMENTED ARTHROPLASTIES BY HOSPITAL IN 2024 (N=2255)

| Hospital | 2024 | | | | | | |
|--------------|------|------|-------|--------|-------|--|--|
| | Ceme | nted | Uncer | nented | Total | | |
| | n | % | n | % | | | |
| Cork | 305 | 99% | ~ | * | * | | |
| Letterkenny | 95 | 99% | ~ | * | * | | |
| St James's | 102 | 98% | ~ | * | * | | |
| Connolly | 133 | 98% | ~ | * | * | | |
| Limerick | 182 | 97% | ~ | * | * | | |
| Beaumont | 137 | 96% | 6 | 4% | 143 | | |
| Mater | 83 | 93% | 6 | 7% | 89 | | |
| Kerry | 82 | 93% | 6 | 7% | 88 | | |
| Waterford | 225 | 89% | 29 | 11% | 254 | | |
| Tallaght | 105 | 85% | 19 | 15% | 124 | | |
| Drogheda | 98 | 73% | 36 | 27% | 134 | | |
| St Vincent's | 29 | 15% | 160 | 85% | 189 | | |
| Tullamore | 22 | 14% | 140 | 86% | 162 | | |
| Galway | ~ | * | 136 | 97% | * | | |
| National | 1682 | 75% | 573 | 25% | 2255 | | |

FIGURE 4.12: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS BY OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ASSESSMENT, BY HOSPITAL IN 2024 (N=3996)

| Hospital | | | | 2024 | | | | |
|--------------|------|-----|--------|------|----|-------------------|------|--|
| | Yes | | Yes No | | | Not documented | | |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | | |
| Beaumont | 189 | 97% | ~ | * | ~ | * | 194 | |
| Mater | 160 | 94% | ~ | * | ~ | * | 170 | |
| Galway | 238 | 94% | 15 | 6% | | | 253 | |
| St James's | 216 | 94% | 14 | 6% | | | 230 | |
| Drogheda | 246 | 93% | 18 | 7% | | | 264 | |
| Kerry | 146 | 88% | 20 | 12% | | | 166 | |
| Letterkenny | 134 | 79% | 35 | 21% | | | 169 | |
| Limerick | 161 | 75% | 54 | 25% | | | 215 | |
| Tallaght | 171 | 63% | 101 | 37% | | | 272 | |
| Tullamore | 190 | 61% | 124 | 39% | | | 314 | |
| Waterford | 271 | 60% | 173 | 38% | 6 | 1% | 450 | |
| St Vincent's | 213 | 60% | 145 | 41% | | | 358 | |
| Connolly | 147 | 56% | 114 | 44% | | | 261 | |
| Cork | 158 | 33% | 321 | 67% | | | 479 | |
| National | 2727 | 68% | 1255 | 31% | 14 | 0% | 3996 | |

⁻ Denotes five cases or fewer.

* Further suppression required to prevent disclosure of five cases or fewer.

[~] Denotes five cases or fewer.

^{*} Further suppression required to prevent disclosure of five cases or fewer.

FIGURE 5.1: COVERAGE OF FOLLOW-ON FIELDS AT 10 IHFD SITES OVER 3 YEARS

| Hospital | | 2022 | | | 2023 | | | 2024 | |
|--------------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|------|-----|
| | n | N | % | n | N | % | n | N | % |
| Connolly | 138 | 263 | 52% | 134 | 271 | 49% | 186 | 261 | 71% |
| Limerick | 169 | 345 | 49% | 182 | 330 | 55% | 195 | 323 | 60% |
| Waterford | 415 | 440 | 94% | 44 | 477 | 9% | | | |
| St James's | | | | | | | 174 | 230 | 76% |
| Mater | ~ | 178 | * | 98 | 150 | 65% | 7 | 192 | 4% |
| St Vincent's | ~ | 213 | * | 141 | 392 | 36% | 84 | 363 | 23% |
| Letterkenny | | | | | | | 69 | 177 | 39% |
| Cork | 113 | 429 | 26% | 55 | 490 | 11% | | | |
| Tallaght | | | | ~ | 226 | * | 62 | 273 | 23% |
| Tullamore | | | | | | | 13 | 315 | 4% |
| National | 845 | 3909 | 22% | 657 | 3983 | 16% | 792 | 4294 | 18% |

Six hospitals have not recorded follow-on data and so are not included in the graph: Beaumont, Drogheda, Galway, Kerry, Sligo and Mayo.

Figure 5.2 EQ-5D-5L OUTCOME RESULTS AT 30 DAYS AFTER PRESENTATION FROM TWO HOSPITALS (% Reporting problems of each severity) - n=326, including reported deaths

| Quality of Life | | | | | | 2024 | 1 | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|-------|-----|------|------|-------|----|--------------------|--------------|----|----------------|
| | No pro | blems | SI | ight | Mod | erate | | e/extreme nable | Dea recor | | Total known |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | |
| Mobility | 29 | 9% | 83 | 26% | 142 | 45% | 48 | 15% | 14 | 4% | 316 |
| Self-care | 36 | 11% | 145 | 46% | 93 | 30% | 27 | 9% | 14 | 4% | 315 |
| Activities | 33 | 10% | 71 | 23% | 145 | 46% | 52 | 17% | 14 | 4% | 315 |
| Anxiety/ | | | | | | 22%* | | | | | |
| Depression | 86 | 28% | 141 | 46% | 67** | * | ~ | * | 14 | 5% | 308 |
| Pain | 76 | 24% | 157 | 49% | 61 | 19% | 10 | 3% | 14 | 4% | 318 |

Includes 3 hospitals that recorded EQ5D data

[~] Denotes five cases or fewer.

^{*} Further suppression required to prevent disclosure of five cases or fewer.

[~] Denotes five cases or fewer.

^{*} Further suppression required to prevent disclosure of five cases or fewer.

^{**} Aggregated value for moderate/ sever/ extreme/ unable to prevent disclosure of five cases or fewer.

APPENDIX 8: SPECIFICATIONS FOR COMPOSITE VARIABLES

See Appendix 4: IHFD Dataset for question references.

FIGURE 2.2: ADMISSION TO ORTHOPAEDIC WARD OR THEATRE WITHIN 4 HOURS FROM EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT

2.2.1. Composite variable based on Q5-Q5B, Q5F-Q5H and Q6-Q6B as follows:

| Category* | Specification |
|---|---|
| Admitted to orthopaedic ward | If Q6=1 |
| Admitted within 4 hours | If Q6=1 and time interval is calculated as within 4 |
| | hours |
| Admitted after 4 hours | If Q6=1 and time interval is calculated as more than |
| | 4 hours |
| Time interval not known | If Q6=1 and time interval is not known |
| Patient admitted directly to theatre within 4 hours | If Q6=1 and time to surgery is calculated as within 4 |
| | hours |
| Never admitted to orthopaedic ward | If Q6=2 |
| Not known | If Q6=9 |

^{*} If patients go to theatre directly from ED, and within 4 hours of first presentation, they are included.

2.2.2. Time interval determination for patients admitted to orthopaedic ward (Q6=1):

- (a) If admitted via ED (Q5=1), then the time interval is calculated from the date and time of arrival at the first presenting hospital (Q4-Q4A) or from the date and time of arrival at the ED of the operating hospital (Q5A-Q5B), whichever is earlier, to the date and time admitted to an orthopaedic ward (Q6A-Q6B).
- (b) If not admitted via ED (Q5=2), then: (i) for inpatient fall cases (Q5H=1), the time interval is calculated from the date and time seen by an orthopaedic team in the operating hospital (Q5F–Q5G) to the date and time admitted to an orthopaedic ward (Q6A–Q6B); (ii) for other cases, the time interval is calculated from the date and time of arrival at either the first presenting hospital (Q4–Q4A) or from the date and time seen by an orthopaedic team (Q5F–Q5G), whichever is earlier, to the date and time admitted to an orthopaedic ward (Q6A–Q6B); and if the date and time of arrival at the first presenting hospital (Q4–Q4A) is not recorded, and the date and time seen by an orthopaedic team (Q5F–Q5G) postdates the date and time admitted to an orthopaedic ward (Q6A–Q6B), then the time interval is set at 0 minutes.

2.2.3. Determination of time interval categories

| Category | Specification |
|----------------|--|
| Within 4 hours | If interval range is 0-240 minutes |
| After 4 hours | If interval range is 241-525,600 minutes |
| Not known | If relevant dates and times are missing; or the interval range is invalid, i.e. <0 minutes; or the interval is implausible, i.e. >525,600 minutes (1 year) |

FIGURE 2.3: TIME TO SURGERY - 48 HOURS/WORKING HOURS

23.1. Composite variable based on Q4-Q5B, Q5F-Q5G, Q6-Q6B, Q15 and Q15E-Q15F, as follows:

| Category | Specification |
|--|--|
| Within 48 hours and working hours (Monday- | If Q15=01-88, and time interval is calculated as |
| Sunday, 8.00am-5.59pm) | within 48 hours, and time of surgery is within |
| | specified working hours |

| Within 48 hours but out of hours (Monday- Sunday, 6.00pm-7.59am) | If Q15=01-88, and time interval is calculated as within 48 hours, and time of surgery is within specified working hours |
|---|---|
| After 48 hours | If Q15=01-88, and time interval is calculated as more than 48 hours |
| Not known | If Q15=01-88, and time interval is not known |

2.3.2. Time interval determination for patients who had surgery (Q15=1-88):

- (a) If admitted via ED (Q5=1), then the time interval is calculated from the date and time of arrival at the first presenting hospital (Q4-Q4A), or from the date and time of arrival at the ED of the operating hospital (Q5A-Q5B), whichever is earlier, to the date and time of surgery (Q15E-Q15F). If Q4-Q4A and Q5A-Q5B are missing and the patient was admitted to an orthopaedic ward (Q6=1), then the time interval is estimated by using the date and time admitted to an orthopaedic ward (Q6A-Q6B) as its starting point.
- (b) If not admitted via ED (Q5=2), then: (i) for inpatient fall cases (Q5H=1), the time interval is calculated from the date and time seen by an orthopaedic team in the operating hospital (Q5F–Q5G) to the date and time of surgery (Q15E–Q15F); (ii) for other cases, the time interval is calculated from the date and time of arrival at either the first presenting hospital (Q4–Q4A) or from the date and time seen by an orthopaedic team (Q5F–Q5G), whichever is earlier, to the date and time of surgery (Q15E–Q15F); (iii) if the date and time of arrival at the first presenting hospital (Q4–Q4A) is not recorded, and the date and time seen by an orthopaedic team (Q5F–Q5G) postdates the date and time admitted to an orthopaedic ward (Q6A–Q6B), then the time interval is calculated from the date and time of admission to an orthopaedic ward to the date and time of surgery (Q15E–Q15F); and (iv) if Q4–Q4A and Q5A–Q5B are missing and the patient was admitted to an orthopaedic ward (Q6=1), then the time interval is estimated by using the date and time admitted to an orthopaedic ward (Q6A–Q6B) as its starting point.

2.3.3. Determination of time interval and working hours categories:

| Category | Specification |
|--|--|
| Within 48 hours and working hours (Monday- | If interval range is 0-2,880 minutes; and time of |
| Sunday, 8.00am-5.59pm) | surgery (Q15F) range is 8.00am-5.59pm |
| Within 48 hours but out of hours (Monday- | If interval range is 0-2,880 minutes; and time of |
| Sunday, 6.00pm-7.59am) | surgery (Q15F) range is 6.00pm-7.59am |
| After 48 hours | If interval range is 2,881-525,600 minutes (1 year) |
| Not known | If relevant dates and times are missing; or interval |
| | is invalid, i.e. <0 minutes; or interval is implausible, |
| | i.e. >525,600 minutes (1 year) |

FIGURE 2.5: PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS REVIEWED BY A GERIATRICIAN AT ANY POINT DURING ADMISSION

2.5.1. Composite variable based on Q12A/Q12E:

| Category | Specification |
|--|---------------|
| Assessed by a geriatrician during this acute admission | If Q12A=1 |
| Assessed by a cANP/ANP gerontology/orthopaedics | If Q12E=1 |

APPENDIX 9: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

| | n | % |
|--|------|-------|
| REASONS FOR DELAY IN TRANSFER TO AN ORTHOPAEDIC WARD* | | |
| No bed available | 541 | 21% |
| Other | 405 | 16% |
| Awaiting orthopaedic diagnosis or investigation | 255 | 10% |
| Medically unwell | 103 | 4% |
| Polytrauma | 24 | 1% |
| Not documented | 1191 | 47% |
| Total PEACON FOR DELAY TO CURCERY | 2519 | 100% |
| REASON FOR DELAY TO SURGERY Awaiting medical review, investigation or stabilisation | 191 | 20% |
| Issues due to anticoagulation | 191 | 20% |
| Not Known or no reason provided | 188 | 20% |
| Other | 158 | 17% |
| Awaiting space on theatre list | 120 | 13% |
| Cancelled due to list over-run | 29 | 3% |
| Awaiting orthopaedic diagnosis or investigation | 25 | 3% |
| Problem with theatre/surgical/anaesthetic staff cover | 14 | 1% |
| Problem with theatre/equipment | 11 | 1% |
| Awaiting inpatient or high-dependency bed | 8 | 1% |
| Total | 935 | 100% |
| OPERATING SURGEON GRADE | | |
| Consultant | 2573 | 62% |
| Spr | 1166 | 28% |
| Reg | 364 | 9% |
| SHO | 14 | 0% |
| Not documented | 7 | 0% |
| Total | 4124 | 100% |
| IHFS 4 Breakdown of Geriatrician Grade at Time of Assessment | 1121 | 10070 |
| Seen by a geriatrician | 3652 | 99% |
| Seen by a geriatrician and consultant | 2352 | 63% |
| Seen by ANP or cANP | 1060 | 29% |
| Total (achieved IHFS 4) | | |
| · | 3705 | 100% |
| PRE-OP MEDICAL ASSESSMENT | 2004 | 500/ |
| None | 2064 | 50% |
| Routine by geriatrician | 1184 | 29% |
| Routine by medical physician | 416 | 11% |
| Geriatrician review following request | 146 | 3% |
| Medical physician review following request | 294 | 7% |
| Not documented | 20 | 1% |
| Total (receiving surgery) | 4124 | 100% |
| BONE HEALTH MEDICATION ASSESSMENT | | |
| Started on this admission | 2272 | 55% |
| Continued from pre-admission | 550 | 13% |
| Awaits out-patient assessment | 363 | 9% |
| No assessment | 349 | 8% |
| Assessed - no bone protection medication needed/appropriate | 258 | 6% |
| Awaits DXA scan | 249 | 6% |
| | | |
| Not applicable Tabel | 71 | 2% |
| Total | 4112 | 100% |

| REASON FOR NOT MOBILISIN | G | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|------|--|
| Medically not fit | | | 236 | 41% | |
| Confusion/agitation/delirium | | | 85 | 15% | |
| Other | | | 70 | 12% | |
| Pain | | | 61 | 11% | |
| Patient declined | | | 57 | 10% | |
| Physio staffing issues | | | 33 | 6% | |
| Not documented | | | 26 | 4% | |
| Not mobile pre-fracture | | | 10 | 2% | |
| Other staffing | | | 2 | 0% | |
| Total | | | 580 | 100% | |
| MODE OF ADMISSION | | | | | |
| Directly to ED in an operating h | ospital | | 4056 | 94% | |
| Seen by an orthopaedic team | | | 215 | 5% | |
| Unknown | | | 23 | 1% | |
| Total | | | 4294 | 100% | |
| TYPE OF ANAESTHESIA | | | | | |
| Spinal anaesthesia | | | 2924 | 71% | |
| General anaesthesia | | | 1048 | 25% | |
| Both | | | 89 | 2% | |
| Other | | | 63 | 2% | |
| Total | | | 4124 | 100% | |
| PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS E | Y FUNCTIONAL OU | TCOMES: CAS** | | | |
| Score | | | Day of discharge | | |
| | n | % | n | % | |
| 0 | 124 | 7% | 74 | 4% | |
| 1 | 113 | 6% | 28 | 2% | |
| 2 | 195 | 11% | 64 | 4% | |
| 3 | 1194 | 68% | 742 | 42% | |
| 4 | 62 | 4% | 165 | 9% | |
| 5 | 34 | 2% | 277 | 16% | |
| 6 | 25 | 1% | 397 | 23% | |
| Total | 1747 | 100% | 1747 | 100% | |

^{*}Two hundred and nine (n=209) patients were transferred from another hospital or had an inpatient fall and therefore, are not included in this table.

** Only includes patients with CAS score recorded at both time-points

APPENDIX 10: IHFD GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING ATTENDEES, 2024

| IHFD Governance Committee Attendance 2024 | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| Representative | Name | 22.02.24 | 12.06.24 | 29.08.24 | 28.11.24 | |
| IHFD Clinical Geriatrician Lead | Dr Emer Ahern | ✓ | R | | | |
| Health and Social Care Professions | Naomi Bates | ✓ | Х | ✓ | √ | |
| IHFD Audit Manager | Louise Brent | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| IHFD Clinical Geriatric Lead | Prof Tara Coughlan | Χ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Healthcare Pricing Office | Brian Donovan | Χ | ✓ | Χ | Χ | |
| Specialist in Public Health Medicine | Dr Helena Ferris | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| IHFD Audit Assistant Manager | Pamela Hickey | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Anaesthesia Representative | Dr Patrick Higgins | Χ | Х | Χ | Χ | |
| Irish Institute of Trauma and Orthopaedic Surgery | Mr Conor Hurson | X | Х | Х | ✓ | |
| IHFD Audit Coordinators | Ursula Kelleher | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Senior Healthcare Manager | John Kelly | ✓ | Х | Х | Χ | |
| National Clinical Programme for Trauma and Orthopaedics | Ruth Kiely | X | ✓ | Х | Х | |
| Emergency Medicine | Dr Geraldine McMahon | X | Х | Х | ✓ | |
| IHFD Clinical Orthopaedic Lead | Mr Terence Murphy | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Public/Patient Involvement | Anne O'Shea Clarke | Х | ✓ | Х | Χ | |
| Health and Social Care Professions | Shanice Vallely | Х | ✓ | Х | ✓ | |
| Research Representative | Dr Mary Walsh | √ | ✓ | ✓ | √ | |
| √= Attended | n/a = not applicable | | | | | |
| X = did not attend | R = Retired | | | | | |



Phone: +353 1 4028577
Email: auditinfo@noca.ie

X: @noca_irl www.noca.ie